

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,586	02/09/2004	Joshua D. Rabinowitz	00031.05CON	1741	
37485	7590 01/12/2005		EXAM	INER	
ALEXZA MOLECULAR DELIVERY CORPORATION			HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA		
	MEADOW CIRCLE ), CA 94303		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1616		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/200:	S	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	····			
		10/775,586	775,586 RABINOWITZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mina Haghighatian	1616				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ti n appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, macation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6), by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		•			
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	<u>04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) in to the drawing(s) be held in abe e correction is required if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do:  2. Certified copies of the priority do:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action	cuments have been received. cuments have been received ithe priority documents have be I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1706) Tools (PTO-1449) ar No(s)/Mail Date 09/24/04.	-948) Paper O/SB/08) 5) Notice	No(s)/Mail Date  of Informal Patent Application (PT	<sup>-</sup> O-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,307 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 1-30 are generic to all that is recited in claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,307 B2. That is, claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,307 B2 fall entirely within the scope of claims 1-30, or in other words, claims 1-30 are anticipated by claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,307 B2. Specifically, the formulations of claims 1-15 are obvious over compositions for delivery of claims 1-5 and 14 of the reference claims and the method of producing the aerosols of claims 16-30 are obvious over method or producing claims 6-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,307 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,586 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-20 of copending Application No. 10/775,583. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 1-15 are generic to all that is recited in claims of copending Application No. 10/775,583. That is, claims of copending Application No. 10/775,583 fall entirely within the scope of claims 1-15, or in other words, claims 1-15 are anticipated by claims of copending Application No. 10/775,583. Specifically, the compositions recited in instant claims 1-15 are anticipated by the kit comprising a composition and a device for delivering a drug aerosol of copending Application No. 10/775,583 (claims 12-20).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mina Haghighatian January 09, 2005

> MICHAEL G. HARTLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER